

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JON FLOWERS,

11 Plaintiff,

v.

12 FRED HUTCHINSON CANCER
13 RESEARCH CENTER,

14 Defendant.

CASE NO. C17-0989-JCC

ORDER

15 This matter comes before the Court on the parties' stipulated motion regarding the
16 discovery of electronically stored information ("ESI") (Dkt. No. 28). Pursuant to the parties'
17 stipulation, the Court ORDERS the following:

18 **A. General Principles**

19 1. An attorney's zealous representation of a client is not compromised by conducting
20 discovery in a cooperative manner. The failure of counsel or the parties to litigation to cooperate
21 in facilitating and reasonably limiting discovery requests and responses raises litigation costs and
22 contributes to the risk of sanctions.

23 2. The proportionality standard set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) must be
24 applied in each case when formulating a discovery plan. To further the application of the
25

1 proportionality standard in discovery, requests for production of ESI and related responses
2 should be reasonably targeted, clear, and as specific as possible.

3 **B. ESI Disclosures**

4 Within 30 days after the Rule 26(f) conference, or at a later time if agreed to by
5 the parties, each party shall disclose:

6 1. Custodians. The five custodians most likely to have discoverable ESI in their
7 possession, custody or control. The custodians shall be identified by name, title, connection to
8 the instant litigation, and the type of the information under his/her control.

9 *Plaintiff's Custodian:*

- 10 a. Jon Flowers, Plaintiff. Emails and documents.

11 *Defendant's Custodians:*

- 12 a. Jon Flowers, Employee Relations Supervisor, Plaintiff. Mr. Flowers emails will be
13 collected.
- 14 b. Han Nachtrieb, Vice President of Human Resources. Emails and documents.
- 15 c. Kim Williams, Employment Manager. Mr. Flowers' Manager. Emails and
16 documents.
- 17 d. Mari Schwab, Employment counsel. Ms. Schwab was named by plaintiff in his
18 complaint. Ms. Schwab will likely have mostly privileged/work product
communications and documents.
- 19 e. John Bartley, Employment Manager. Mr. Flowers' Manager following Ms. Williams'
20 departure. Emails and documents.

21 2. Non-custodial Data Sources. A list of non-custodial data sources (e.g.
22 shared drives, servers, etc.), if any, likely to contain discoverable ESI.

23 Payroll systems and network drives.

24 3. Third-Party Data Sources. A list of third-party data sources, if any, likely
25 to contain discoverable ESI and, for each such source, the extent to which a party is (or is not)
26 able to preserve information stored in the third-party data source.

1 Plaintiff's personal email provider and cell phone service provider. Plaintiff is able to
2 preserve information stored in his personal email and cell phone, unless otherwise limited by his
3 service providers.

4 4. Inaccessible Data. A list of data sources, if any, likely to contain
5 discoverable ESI (by type, date, custodian, electronic system or other criteria sufficient to
6 specifically identify the data source) that a party asserts is not reasonably accessible under
7 Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B).

8 None.

9 **C. Preservation of ESI**

10 The parties acknowledge that they have a common law obligation to take
11 reasonable and proportional steps to preserve discoverable information in the party's possession,
12 custody, or control. With respect to preservation of ESI, the parties agree as follows:

13 1. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the parties shall not
14 be required to modify the procedures used by them in the ordinary course of business to back-up
15 and archive data; provided, however, that the parties shall preserve all discoverable ESI in their
16 possession, custody, or control.

17 2. All parties shall supplement their disclosures in accordance with Rule 26(e) with
18 discoverable ESI responsive to a particular discovery request or mandatory disclosure where that
19 data is created after a disclosure or response is made (unless excluded under (C)(3) or (D)(1)-
20 (2) below).

21 3. Absent a showing of good cause by the requesting party, the following categories
22 of ESI need not be preserved:

- 23 a. Deleted, slack, fragmented, or other data only accessible by forensics.
24 b. Random access memory (RAM), temporary files, or other ephemeral data
25 that are difficult to preserve without disabling the operating system.
26 c. On-line access data such as temporary internet files, history, cache, cookies, and
the like.

- d. Data in metadata fields that are frequently updated automatically, such as last-opened dates (see also Section (E)(5)).
- e. Back-up data that are substantially duplicative of data that are more accessible elsewhere.
- f. Server, system, or network logs.
- g. Data remaining from systems no longer in use that is unintelligible on the systems in use.
- h. Electronic data (e.g. email, calendars, contact data, and notes) sent to or from mobile devices (e.g., iPhone, iPad, Android, and Blackberry devices), provided that a copy of all such electronic data is routinely saved elsewhere (such as on a server, laptop, desktop computer, or “cloud” storage).

D. Privilege

1. With respect to privileged or work-product information generated after the filing of the complaint, parties are not required to include any such information in privilege logs.
2. Activities undertaken in compliance with the duty to preserve information are protected from disclosure and discovery under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(3)(A) and (B).
3. Information produced in discovery that is protected as privileged or work product shall be immediately returned to the producing party, and its production shall not constitute a waiver of such protection, if: (i) such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced or (ii) the producing party provides notice within 15 days of discovery by the producing party of the inadvertent production.

E. ESI Discovery Procedures

1. On-site inspection of electronic media. Such an inspection shall not be permitted absent a demonstration by the requesting party of specific need and good cause or by agreement of the parties.

2. Search methodology. The parties shall timely attempt to reach agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer-or technology-aided methodology, before any such effort is undertaken. The parties shall continue to cooperate in revising the appropriateness of the search terms or computer-or technology-aided methodology.

In the absence of agreement on appropriate search terms, or an appropriate computer-or

1 technology-aided methodology, the following procedures shall apply:

2 a. A producing party shall disclose the search terms or queries, if any, and
3 methodology that it proposes to use to locate ESI likely to contain discoverable information. The
4 parties shall meet and confer to attempt to reach an agreement on the producing party's search
5 terms and/or other methodology.

6 b. If search terms or queries are used to locate ESI likely to contain
7 discoverable information, a requesting party is entitled to no more than 5 additional terms or
8 queries to be used in connection with further electronic searches absent a showing of good cause
9 or agreement of the parties. The 5 additional terms or queries, if any, must be provided by
10 the requesting party within 14 days of receipt of the producing party's production.

11 c. Focused terms and queries should be employed; broad terms or queries,
12 such as product and company names, generally should be avoided. Absent a showing of
13 good cause, each search term or query returning more than 250 megabytes of data are presumed
14 to be overbroad, excluding Microsoft PowerPoint files, image and audio files, and similarly
15 large file types.

16 d. The producing party shall search both non-custodial data sources and ESI
17 maintained by the custodians identified above.

18 3. Format. The parties agree that ESI will be produced to the requesting party in
19 searchable PDF format. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, files that are not easily
20 converted to image format, such as spreadsheet, database and drawing files, should be
21 produced in native format.

22 4. De-duplication. The parties may de-duplicate their ESI production across
23 custodial and non-custodial data sources after disclosure to the requesting party.

24 5. Metadata fields. If the requesting party seeks metadata, the parties agree that
25 only the following metadata fields need be produced: document type; custodian and duplicate

1 custodians; author/from; recipient/to, cc and bcc; title/subject; file name and size; original file
2 path; date and time created, sent, modified and/or received; and hash value.

3 DATED: November 17, 2017

4
5 LANE POWELL PC

6
7 ROCKE | LAW Group, PLLC

8 By s/ D. Michael Reilly
9 D. Michael Reilly, WSBA No. 14674
reillym@lanepowell.com
Telephone: 206.223.7000
Facsimile: 206.223.7107
10 Attorneys for Defendant Fred
Hutchinson Cancer Research Center

11 By s/ Peter Montine
Peter Montine, WSBA No. 49815
peter@rockelaw.com
Telephone: 206.652.8670
Facsimile: 206.452.5895
12 Attorney for Plaintiff Jon Flowers

13 DATED this 20th day of November 2017.

14
15 
16 John C. Coughenour
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE